Case 2:04-cr-00118-LKK Document 68 Filed 05/17/06 Page 1 of 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| A. Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Court orders the above-named defendant detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3 B. Statement Of Reasons For The Detention The Court orders the defendant's detention because it finds: By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the commod vill reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the commod contained in the Pretrial Services Report, and includes the following: (1) Nature and Circumstances of the offense charged: (a) The crime. (b) The offense involves a narcotic drug. (c) The offense involves a large amount of controlled labstation. (2) The weight of the evidence against the defendant is high. | ON ORDER Bail Reform Act, the 42(e) and (I) of conditions will |
|--|--|
| A. Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Court orders the above-named defendant detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3 B. Statement Of Reasons For The Detention The Court orders the defendant's detention because it finds: By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the common will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the common contained in the Pretrial Services Report, and includes the following: (1) Nature and Circumstances of the offense charged: (a) The crime. (b) The offense is a crime of violence. (c) The offense involves a large amount of controlled substated in the pretrial of the evidence against the defendant is high. | Bail Reform Act, the 42(e) and (I) of conditions will of conditions |
| A. Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Court orders the above-named defendant detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3 B. Statement Of Reasons For The Detention The Court orders the defendant's detention because it finds: By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the commod vill reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the commod contained in the Pretrial Services Report, and includes the following: (1) Nature and Circumstances of the offense charged: (a) The crime. (b) The offense involves a narcotic drug. (c) The offense involves a large amount of controlled labstation. (2) The weight of the evidence against the defendant is high. | Bail Reform Act, the 42(e) and (I) of conditions will of conditions |
| After conducting a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Court orders the above-named defendant detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3 B. Statement Of Reasons For The Detention The Court orders the defendant's detention because it finds: By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the commod vill reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the commod contained in the Pretrial Services Report, and includes the following: (1) Nature and Circumstances of the offense charged: (a) The crime. (b) The offense is a crime of violence. (c) The offense involves a narcotic drug. (d) The offense involves a large amount of controlled tobstate (2) The weight of the evidence against the defendant is high. | 42(e) and (I) of conditions will of conditions |
| The Court orders the defendant's detention because it finds: By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the communication will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the communication will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the communication will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the communication will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the communication will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the communication will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the communication will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the communication will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the communication will reasonably assure the affects of any other person and the communication will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. [In the communication of controlled and the communication will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. [In the communication of controlled and the communication will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. [In the communication of controlled and the communication will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. [In the communication of controlled and the communication of controlled and the communication will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. [In the communication of controlled and the communic | of conditions |
| The Court's findings are based on the evidence which was presented in Courcontained in the Pretrial Services Report, and includes the following: (1) Nature and Circumstances of the offense charged: (a) The crime. (b) The offense is a crime of violence. (c) The offense involves a narcotic drug. (d) The offense involves a large amount of controlled substated (2) The weight of the evidence against the defendant is high. | nity. |
| (a) General Factors: The defendant appears to have a mental affect whether the defendant will appear. The defendant has no family ties in the area. The defendant has no substantial financial res The defendant is not a long time resident of the defendant does not have any significant of the defendant has a history relating to drug all the defendant has a significant prior criminal the defendant has a prior record of failure to | WAGNER, CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT ASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY CLERK DEPUTY CLERK Community. Community ties. |

DEFENDANT: ROBSER 2 OF 2 DEFENDANT: ROBSER 2 OF 2 DEFENDANT: ROBSER 2 OF 2 OF 2

CASE NUMBER: CR.S-04-0118-LKK

| (b) | Whether the defendant was on probation, parole, or release by a court; At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on: Probation Parole Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence. |
|----------------|---|
| (c) | Other Factors: The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation. The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if |
| | convicted. Other: |
| (4) Rebuttable | Presumntions |
| In determining | g that the defendant should be detained, the court also relied on the following sumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e), which the court finds the defendant |
| has not rebutt | ed: |
| a. (1) T | The crime charged is one described in § 3142(f)(1) viz. |
| | (A) a crime of violence; or |
| | (B) an offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or (C) a controlled substance violation that has a maximum penalty of ten years or |
| . – | more; or (D) a felony and defendant previously was convicted of two or more of the offenses described in (A) through (C) above and |
| | Defendant previously has been convicted of one of the crimes listed in subparagraph (1)(A)-(C), above and |
| | The offense referred to in subparagraph (2) was committed while defendant was on release pending trial and |
| (4) | Not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction or release from |
| h The | imprisonment for the offense referred to in subparagraph (2). re is probable cause to believe that defendant committed an offense for which a |
| | num term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed |
| | n the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 801, et seq., |
| | he Controlled Substances Import and Export Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 951, et seq., |
| tl | he Maritime Drug Law Enforcement Act, 46 U.S.C. App. §§ 1901, et seq., or |
| | n offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b. |
| | n offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244 (a)(1), 2245, 2251, |
| | A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425. |
| 4.110 | |

D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(I)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and

The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with his counsel; and That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: May 17, 2006

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE